# Reverberation mapping of high luminosity quasars

Michele Perma Università di Roma La Sapienza Collaborators: D.Trevese, F.Vagnetti, D.Paris, and F.G.Saturni

ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI 10 - Rome 10-14 september 2012

### • Reverberation Mapping

• SPEAR (Stochastic Process Estimation for AGN Reverberation, Zu et al. 2011) to estimate the lags between the AGN continuum and emission line light curves and their statistical confidence limits.

• Spectrophotometric monitoring campaign at Asiago 1.82 m telescope, for intermediate z, high luminosisty QSOs.

• The mass of PG 1247+267, the most luminous QSO ever analyzed with RM

### The masses of the AGN's black holes

The emission-lines *"reverberate"* to the continuum changes.



*Reverberation Mapping:* BLR very close to BH.



High velocity, ionized clouds give rise broad emission lines.

Virial reverberation mass:

$$M_{\rm BH} = \frac{fR\Delta V^2}{G}$$

f, scale factor;  $\Delta V$ , line width ; R, R<sub>BLR</sub> = c  $\Delta t$  .

### Time Lag



observer

 $\Psi$  Transfer function

Time Lag

Cross-correlation function 
$$CCF_{x,y}(\Delta t) = \frac{\langle (x(t_i - \Delta t) - \bar{x})(y(t_i) - \bar{y}) \rangle}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$



"discrete" CCF method (DCF) (Edelson & Krolik 1988)

Unbinned cross-correlation function

UDCF<sub>ij</sub> = 
$$\frac{(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{(\sigma_x^2 - e_x^2)(\sigma_y^2 - e_y^2)}}$$

Averaging over M pairs for which  $\begin{array}{l} \Delta t - \delta t/2 \leq t_i - t_j \leq \Delta t + \delta t/2 ,\\ \text{the discrete cross-correlation function is} \end{array}$ 

$$DCF(\Delta t) = \frac{1}{M} \sum UDCF_{ij}$$

### Time Lag





O: 1993 data from HST and IUE.

... virial relationship with  $M = 6 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ .

Highest ionization emission-lines respond most rapidly to continuum changes. There is ionization stratification of the BLR.

### **L-R** relation

Kaspi et al. 2000, for qso with  $L \leq 10^{46}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>, obtain:

# BLR size scales with the 5100 Å luminosity as

 $R \propto L^{0.5}$ 

(Kaspi et al. 2005; Bentz et al. 2006, 2009)



Expand the range to high L will require some 5-10 yr of observation

From R-L relation:

$$R_{BLR} = c_1 L_{\lambda}^{\gamma} \implies M_{BH} = c_2 L_{\lambda}^{\gamma} (\Delta V)^2$$

single-epoch (S.E.) determination of the MBH from their luminosity and with FWHM of emission-line.

$$M_{BH} = 8.3 \cdot 10^{6} \left( \frac{\text{FWHM(H} \ \beta)}{10^{3} \text{ km/s}} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{\lambda L_{\lambda} (5100 \text{ A})}{10^{44} \text{ ergs/s}} \right)^{0.50} M_{\odot}$$
Vestergaard & Peterson 2006

Empirical method for large statistical sample: cosmological evolution of the mass function.

S.E. relation requised the extrapolation to high luminosity and redshift of a relation whose calibration performed for  $L \lesssim 10^{46} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1}}$  and  $z \le 0.4$ 

#### New campaign for spectrophotometric monitoring of luminous, intermediate redshift QSOs

### Single-epoch determination

#### From Kaspi et al. 2007

Object (1)	R.A. (J2000.0) (2)	Decl. (J2000.0) (3)	$m_V$ (4)	Redshift (5)	N <sub>phot</sub> (6)	N <sub>spec</sub> (7)	$ \begin{array}{c} \lambda L_{\lambda}(5100 \text{ Å}) \\ (8) \end{array} $
			Pho	tometric and	Spectroph	otometric	
S4 0636+68	6 42 04.2	67 58 36	16.6	3.180	90	11	47.28
S5 0836+71	8 41 24.3	70 53 42	16.5	2.172	70	16	46.81
SBS 1116+603	11 19 14.3	60 04 57	17.5	2.646	85	15	46.92
SBS 1233+594	12 35 49.5	59 10 27	16.5	2.824	76	15	46.97
SBS 1425+606	14 26 56 2	60 25 51	165	2 102	00	21	17 12
rest-frame delay of 188 days	elation Coefficient	0.5 C					
$2.6 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$	Cross-Corre	-0.5 -1 -50		500 Time	1000 1ag [daj	) 1500 ys]	

### The campaign, PG 1247+267



<b>.</b>
Absence of H $lpha$ , H $eta$ , H $\gamma$ observed
in the low redshift study of
Kaspy et al. (2000)

Object	z	V	$\log[\lambda L_{\lambda}(5100 \text{ Å})]$
APM 08279+5255	3.911	15.20	47.7
PG 1247+268	2.042	15.60	47.0
PG 1634+706 HS 2154+2228	1.337 1.290	15.27 15.30	46.7 46.7

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{continuum} &\in [4408, 4450] \\ \lambda_{short} &\in [4450, 4502] \ and \ \lambda_{long} \ \in \ [5202, 5262] \ (CIV) \\ \lambda_{short} &\in [5535, 5695] \ and \ \lambda_{long} \ \in \ [6025, 6085] \ (CIII]) \end{split}$$



### PG 1247+267: discrete cross-correlation



### PG 1247+267: discrete cross-correlation



THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 735:80 (16pp), 2011 July 10 © 2011. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/735/2/80

#### AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO MEASURING REVERBERATION LAGS IN ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI

YING ZU<sup>1</sup>, C. S. KOCHANEK<sup>1,2</sup>, AND BRADLEY M. PETERSON<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA; yingzu@astronomy.ohio-state.edu <sup>2</sup> Center for Cosmology and AstroParticle Physics, The Ohio State University, 191 West Woodruff Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA Received 2010 August 3; accepted 2011 April 19; published 2011 June 20

#### ABSTRACT

Motivated by recent progress in the statistical modeling of quasar variability, we develop a new approach to measuring emission-line reverberation lags to estimate the size of broad-line regions (BLRs) in active galactic nuclei. Assuming that all emission-line light curves are scaled, smoothed, and displaced versions of the continuum, this alternative approach fits the light curves directly using a damped random walk model and aligns them to recover the time lag and its statistical confidence limits. We introduce the mathematical formalism of this approach and demonstrate its ability to cope with some of the problems for traditional methods, such as irregular sampling, correlated errors, and seasonal gaps. We redetermine the lags for 87 emission lines in 31 quasars and reassess the BLR size–luminosity relationship using 60 H $\beta$  lags. We confirm the general results from the traditional cross-correlation methods, with a few exceptions. Our method, however, also supports a broad range of extensions. In particular, it can simultaneously fit multiple lines and continuum light curves which improves the lag estimate for the lines and provides estimates of the error correlations between them. Determining these correlations is of particular importance for interpreting emission-line velocity–delay maps. We can also include parameters for luminosity-dependent lags or line responses. We use this to detect the scaling of the BLR size with continuum luminosity in NGC 5548.

Key words: galaxies: active - galaxies: nuclei - galaxies: Seyfert - quasars: general

Online-only material: color figures



Quasar variability well describet by a damped random walk; Power spectrum of the process is

$$P_Y(f) = \frac{2\hat{\sigma}^2 \tau^2}{1 + (2\pi\tau f)^2}$$

Amplitude  $\sigma$   $\sigma^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2 \tau / 2$ 

Damping time scale au

 $\langle s_c(t_i)s_c(t_j)\rangle = \sigma^2 exp\left(-\left|t_i - t_j\right|/\tau\right)$  Covariance continuum-continuum

Covariance line-continuum 
$$\langle s_l(t_i)s_c(t_j)\rangle = \int dt'g(t_i - t') \langle (s_c(t')s_c(t_j)\rangle$$

Transfer function 
$$g(t-t') = A(t_2-t_1)^{-1}$$
 per  $t_1 \le t-t' \le t_2$ 

Mean lag  $t_{lag} = (t_1 + t_2)/2$ Temporal width  $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$ 

Ψ(t)	
	 t

### PG 1247+267: SPEAR



## $t_{lag \ CIII} = 252^{+39}_{-25} \ days$

# $t_{lag\ CIV} = 107^{+32}_{-54}\ days$

 $t_{lag~CIII} pprox 2-3~t_{lag~CIV}$  (Onken & Peterson 2002; Wandel & Peterson 1999)

 $t_{lag \ CIII} \approx 2.4 \ t_{lag \ CIV}$ 

To determine FWHM and  $\sigma_{\rm line}$ 

$$\sigma_{\text{line}}^{2}(\lambda) = \langle \lambda^{2} \rangle - \lambda_{0}^{2} = \left[ \int \lambda^{2} P(\lambda) d\lambda \Big/ \int P(\lambda) d\lambda \right] - \lambda_{0}^{2} \text{, with } \lambda_{0} = \int \lambda P(\lambda) d\lambda \Big/ \int P(\lambda) d\lambda$$

and their associated uncertainties, we employ a bootstrap method.

Mean spectrum 
$$\overline{F(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i(\lambda)$$
 Rms spectrum  $S(\lambda) = \left\{ \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ F_i(\lambda) - \overline{F(\lambda)} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2}$ 

 $\Delta V_{\sigma_{line}}(CIV - rms \ spectrum) = 2012 \pm 453 \ km/s$  $\Delta V_{\sigma_{line}}(CIII] - rms \ spectrum) = 1533 \pm 583 \ km/s$ 

### PG 1247+267: Мвн

$$M_{\rm BH} = \frac{fR\Delta V^2}{G} \quad f = 3 \text{ (Netzer 1990):}$$

$$\Delta V_{\sigma_{line}}(CIII] - rms \, spectrum) = 1533 \pm 583 \, km/s$$

$$t_{lag \ CIII]} = 252^{+39}_{-25} \, days$$

$$M_{Rev}(CIII] - \sigma_{line}) = 3.5^{+4.2}_{-2.3} \cdot 10^8 M_{\odot}$$

$$\Delta V_{\sigma_{line}}(CIV - rms \, spectrum) = 2012 \pm 453 \, km/s$$

$$t_{lag \ CIV} = 107^{+32}_{-54} \, days$$

$$M_{Rev}(CIV - \sigma_{line}) = 2.5^{+2.4}_{-1.8} \cdot 10^8 M_{\odot}$$

S5 0836+71 (LUV =  $1.12 \cdot 10^{47} \text{ erg/s}$ , z = 2.172): factor of 8 highter mass than PG 1247+267 (LUV =  $1.94 \cdot 10^{47} \text{ erg/s}$ , z=2.042). FWHM and t<sub>lag</sub> : factor ½ higther than PG1247+267.

$$R \propto \Delta V^{-2} \implies 1.3 \pm 0.8 = \frac{\Delta V_{CIV}}{\Delta V_{CIII}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{t_{lag \ CIII}}{t_{lag \ CIV}}} = 1.5 \pm 0.3$$

Single-Epoch determination:

$$M_{BH} = 4.5 \cdot 10^{6} \left( \frac{\text{FWHM(CIV)}}{10^{3} \text{ km/s}} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{\lambda L_{\lambda} (1350 \text{ A})}{10^{44} \text{ ergs/s}} \right)^{0.53} M_{\odot}$$
Vestergaard & Peterson 2006

	$M_{\rm c}$	$I_{PG1247+267}(10^8)$	$^{3}M_{\odot})$ I	$M_{S50836+71}(10^8 M_{\odot})$
$M_{Rev}(CIV - FWHM)$ $M_{S.E.}(CIV - FWHM)$		$3.0^{+3.0}_{-2.1}$ $33.5^{+1.9}_{-1.9}$		$26^{*}$ 100*
		PG 1247+267	S5 0836+	71
	$\left(\frac{M_{S.E.}}{M_{Rev.}}\right)_{FWHM(media)}$	a) <b>11</b>	4	

#### S.E. relation from $R(H\beta)$ -LUV, not from R(CIV)-LUV.

### $R(H\beta)$ -LUV vs R(CIV)-LUV



Points confirms and accentuates the decrease in slope suggested by Kaspi et al. 2007

• We used the SPEAR method to estimate continuum-line lags  $t_{\mbox{\tiny CIV}}$  and  $t_{\mbox{\tiny CIV]}}$ 

- We estimated the mass of PG 1247+267, the most luminous QSO ever analyzed with RM
- The CIV lag confirms and accentuates the decrease in slope of Luv-Rav relation.

$$\begin{split} \langle s_c(t_i)s_c(t_j)\rangle &= \sigma^2 exp\left(-\left|t_i-t_j\right|/\tau\right) \quad \text{Covariance continuum-continuum} \\ \\ \text{Light curve of a line} \qquad s_l(t) &\equiv \int dt'g(t-t')s_c(t) \\ \\ \text{Covariance line-continuum} \quad \langle s_l(t_i)s_c(t_j)\rangle &= \int dt'g(t_i-t')\left\langle \left(s_c(t')s_c(t_j)\right\rangle \right. \\ \\ \left\langle s_l(t_i)s_l(t_j)\right\rangle &= \int dt'dt''g(t_i-t')g'(t_j-t'')\left\langle \left(s_c(t')s_c(t'')\right\rangle \right. \\ \\ \text{Covariance line-line} \\ \end{split}$$

Transfer function 
$$g(t-t') = A(t_2-t_1)^{-1}$$
  $t_1 \le t-t' \le t_2$ 

Mean lag 
$$t_{lag} = (t_1 + t_2)/2$$
  
Temporal width  $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$ 



### PG 1247+267: Мвн

Г

$$M_{\rm BH}=rac{fR\Delta V^2}{G}$$
 f = 3 (Netzer 1990):

$$\Delta V_{\sigma_{line}}(CIII] - rms \ spectrum) = 1533 \pm 583 \ km/s \\ t_{lag} \ CIII] = 252^{+39}_{-25} \ days \\ \Delta V_{FWHM}(CIII] - mean \ rms \ spectrum) = 3344 \pm 1976 \ km/s \\ M_{Rev}(CIII] - FWHM) = 4.1^{+7.9}_{-3.5} \cdot 10^8 M_{\odot}$$

### PG 1247+267: DCF with continuum snake

